1 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP IBM CORPORATION Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165) Stacey Blaustein 2 mmao@bsfllp.com Counsel - Corporate Litigation 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor 1 North Castle Drive 3 San Francisco, CA 94104 1A-73 MD NC-107 Telephone: (415) 293 6858 Armonk, NY 10501 4 Facsimile: (415) 999 9695 Tel. (914)765-4734 Beko Reblitz-Richardson (CA Bar No. Fax. (914)765-2828 5 238027) brichardson@bsfllp.com Counsel for Promontory Financial Group, and 6 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor The International Business Machine San Francisco, CA 94104 Corporation 7 Tel: (415) 293 6858 Fax: (415) 999 9695 8 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 9 William Christopher Carmody (pro hac vice) bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice) 10 srabin@susmangodfrey.com 11 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019 12 Telephone: (212) 336-8330 13 MORGAN & MORGAN John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) 14 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com Ryan J. McGee (pro hac vice) rmcgee@forthepeople.com 15 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor 16 Tampa, FL 33602 Telephone: (813) 223-5505 17 Counsel for Plaintiffs 18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION 20 Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK CHASOM BROWN. WILLIAM BYATT. 21 JEREMY DAVIS. CHRISTOPHER STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, 22 ORDER EXTENDING THIRD PARTY individually and on behalf of all similarly PROMONTORY FINANCIAL GROUP'S situated, 23 **DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO** Plaintiffs, **SUBPOENA** 24 v. 25 Honorable Susan Van Keulen Judge: GOOGLE LLC. 26 Defendant. 27 28

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND THIRD PARTY PROMONTORY FINANCIAL

GROUP'S DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO SUBPOENA

Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

9 10

8

12 13

11

14 15

17 18

16

19

20

21

23

24

22

25 26

27

Plaintiffs, and Third Party Promontory Financial Group, and The International Business Machine Corporation ("Promontory/IBM"). WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served a subpoena on each of the non-party auditors, Ernst & Young ("EY"), Price Waterhouse Co. ("PwC"), and Promontory/IBM (collectively, "Third Parties"). All responses and objections were received by October 26, 2021;

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, this joint stipulation is entered into between

WHEREAS, Google sought a protective order to prevent Third Parties from producing documents responsive to the subpoenas. The Parties submitted a joint discovery letter brief on October 28, 2021. (Dkt. 314);

WHEREAS, the Court issued an Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendant's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt. 335) on November 16, 2021 ordering that the Third Parties respond to Request No. 6 "limited to communications with Google, and any documents referred to in those communications, from June 1, 2014 to present, relating to Google's privacy controls addressed in the 2011 Consent Decree and Google's conduct in connection with Incognito and any other private browsing mode";

WHEREAS, on or around October 26, 2021, Promontory/IBM served, by email, its objections to the subpoena and Stacey Blaustein, counsel for Promontory/IBM wrote, "We will be circling back about responsive documents shortly after our investigation is concluded.";

WHEREAS, on November 22, 2021, in response to an inquiry from Plaintiffs, Ms. Blaustein responded that she was still "waiting to hear from one last person as to whether Promontory has any documents still available on this engagement. None of the employees who worked on the Google Privacy Assessment are still with Promontory; one went to IBM but he searched and does not have any responsive documents." Ms. Blaustein stated that she would know in a few days but expected the number of documents to be small;

WHEREAS, on November 29, 2021, Ms. Blaustein emailed to request an additional ten days, up to and including December 9, 2021 to respond to the subpoena. The basis for Promontory/IBM's request was that, although Ms. Blaustein had initially been informed that Promontory/IBM had no responsive documents for the reasons previously stated, she just learned Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND THIRD PARTY PROMONTORY FINANCIAL

1	that there may be some documents from a non-custodial source but that Ms. Blaustein had not yet
2	had the opportunity to review the documents. Promontory/IBM needs additional time to complete
3	the review and, if appropriate, prepare the documents for production;
4	WHEREAS, on November 29, 2021, Plaintiffs submitted a Joint Stipulation and [Proposed]
5	Order to Extend Third Party Ernst & Young LLP'S Deadline to Respond to Subpoena seeking an
6	extension of Ernst & Young LLP's response date until December 7, 2021. There have been no other
7	requests, or extensions or modifications to the Court's November 16, 2021 Order Granting in Part
8	and Denying in Part Defendant's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt. 335);
9	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have determined that granting these extensions should not affect other
10	existing discovery deadlines;
11	NOW THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate that the date for Promontory/IBM to respond to
12	subpoena pursuant to the November 16, 2021 Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part
13	Defendant's Motion for Protective Order (Dkt. 335) shall be extended from November 30, 2021 to
14	December 9, 2021.
15	DATED: November 29, 2021 MORGAN & MORGAN
16	By /s/Down I McCoo
17	By <u>/s/ Ryan J. McGee</u> Ryan J. McGee
18	Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs
19	DATED, November 20, 2021 By /s/Street Planatoin
20	DATED: November 29, 2021 By /s/ Stacey Blaustein Stacey Blaustein
21	Counsel on behalf of Promontory Financial
22	Group, and The International Business Machine Corporation
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2 Casa No. 5:20 ay 03664 LHK SVI

ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE I am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this Joint Stipulation And [Proposed] Order to Continue Discovery Deadlines. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in the filing of this document By /s/ Ryan J. McGee Dated: November 29, 2021 Ryan J. McGee Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION 3 Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, 4 JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER [PROPOSED] ORDER 5 CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, individually and on behalf of all similarly Judge: Honorable Susan Van Keulen 6 situated, 7 Plaintiffs, 8 v. 9 GOOGLE LLC, 10 Defendant. 11 12 Pursuant to stipulation of the Parties, the Court sets the following case schedule: 13 The date for Promontory Financial Group, and The International Business Machine 14 Corporation to respond to subpoena pursuant to the November 16, 2021 Order Granting In Part And 15 Denying In Part Defendant's Motion For Protective Order (Dkt. 335) shall be extended from 16 November 30, 2021 to December 9, 2021 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. 18 DATED: <u>November 30</u>, 2021 19 United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28